

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/559,802	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PLESCHIUTSCHNIGG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS.

(2) KLAUS STOFFEL.

**Date of Interview:** 28 April 2011

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** response to non-final action

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:21 PM

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*NONE*

Claims discussed:  
*1*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*NONE*

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*THE EXAMINER SUGGESTED AMENDING CLAIM 1 TO PLACE THE CLAIM IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE AND TO ADD THE CONTINUITY DATA TO THE SPECIFICATION. MR. STOFFEL AGREED.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/T. M. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1733

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)